# Reformulation of Mass-Energy Equivalence: Implications for Particle Charges and Forces

Ilja Laurs ilja@laurs.com

April 12, 2025

#### Abstract

This paper extends the reformulation of Einstein's mass-energy equivalence from  $E = mc^2$  to  $Et^2 = md^2$  to explain the nature of particle charges and fundamental forces. We demonstrate that interpreting spacetime as a "2+2" dimensional structure—with two rotational spatial dimensions and two temporal dimensions, one of which manifests as the perceived third spatial dimension—offers profound insights into the nature of charge quantization and force interactions. We propose that electric charge represents a phase orientation in the two rotational dimensions, while color charge and other quantum numbers correspond to higher harmonic modes in this rotational space. The fundamental forces are reinterpreted according to their dimensional operations: electromagnetic, weak, and strong forces primarily operate within the two rotational dimensions, while gravity uniquely spans all dimensions including both temporal dimensions. This dimensional asymmetry explains the hierarchy problem and the distinct behavior of gravity compared to other fundamental forces. We derive modified field equations that incorporate this dimensional framework and identify several experimental signatures that could distinguish our model from the Standard Model, focusing particularly on high-energy particle behavior, force law modifications, and novel decay channels. Our framework potentially unifies the description of fundamental charges and forces through a common dimensional structure, offering a more parsimonious explanation for the observed properties of particles and their interactions.

## 1 Introduction

The Standard Model of particle physics, combined with General Relativity, provides our most comprehensive description of fundamental particles and their interactions. However, significant challenges remain, including the hierarchy problem, charge quantization, the unification of forces, and the reconciliation of quantum mechanics with gravity.

In previous work, we proposed a reformulation of Einstein's mass-energy equivalence from  $E = mc^2$  to  $Et^2 = md^2$ , where c is replaced by the ratio of distance (d) to time (t). This mathematically equivalent formulation led us to interpret spacetime as a "2+2" dimensional structure: two rotational spatial dimensions plus two temporal dimensions, with one of these temporal dimensions being perceived as the third spatial dimension due to our cognitive processing of motion.

This paper extends this framework to particle charges and fundamental forces. We propose that charges represent phase relationships in the two rotational dimensions, while the fundamental forces operate differently across our "2+2" dimensional framework. Specifically, the electromagnetic, weak, and strong forces primarily operate within the two rotational dimensions, while gravity uniquely spans all dimensions including both temporal ones. This reconceptualization potentially resolves several longstanding puzzles in particle physics while providing a more elegant explanation for the observed properties of charges and forces.

The profound implications of this approach include:

- 1. Natural explanation for charge quantization through rotational phase states
- 2. Resolution of the hierarchy problem through dimensional effects
- 3. Unification of forces through dimensional transitions
- 4. Explanation for fermion-boson distinctions based on dimensional properties
- 5. Reinterpretation of symmetry groups in terms of rotational and temporal symmetries

## 2 Theoretical Framework

## 2.1 Review of the $Et^2 = md^2$ Reformulation

We begin with Einstein's established equation:

$$E = mc^2 \tag{1}$$

Since the speed of light c can be expressed as distance over time:

$$c = \frac{d}{t} \tag{2}$$

Substituting into the original equation:

$$E = m \left(\frac{d}{t}\right)^2 = m \frac{d^2}{t^2} \tag{3}$$

Rearranging:

$$Et^2 = md^2 \tag{4}$$

This reformulation is mathematically equivalent to the original but frames the relationship differently. Rather than emphasizing c as a fundamental constant, it explicitly relates energy and time to mass and distance, with both time and distance appearing as squared terms.

### 2.2 The "2+2" Dimensional Interpretation

The squared terms in equation (4) suggest a reinterpretation of spacetime dimensionality. The  $d^2$  term represents the two rotational degrees of freedom in space, while  $t^2$  captures conventional time. We propose that what we perceive as the third spatial dimension is actually a second temporal dimension that manifests as spatial due to our cognitive processing of motion.

This creates a fundamentally different "2+2" dimensional framework:

- Two dimensions of conventional space (captured in  $d^2$ )
- Two dimensions of time (one explicit in  $t^2$  and one that we perceive as the third spatial dimension, denoted by  $\tau$ )

## 3 Particle Charges in the "2+2" Framework

#### 3.1 Electric Charge as Rotational Phase

We propose that electric charge fundamentally represents a phase relationship or orientation within the two rotational dimensions. This can be mathematically expressed as:

$$q = q_0 e^{i\phi} \tag{5}$$

Where  $q_0$  represents the fundamental charge magnitude and  $\phi$  is the phase angle in the rotational space. Positive and negative charges correspond to opposite phases ( $\phi$  and  $\phi + \pi$ ).

#### 3.2 Charge Quantization

The quantization of electric charge—the observation that all free particles carry charges that are integer multiples of the elementary charge e—emerges naturally from the quantized rotational states allowed in the two-dimensional rotational space. Similar to angular momentum quantization in quantum mechanics, only certain rotational states are permitted, resulting in:

$$q = ne, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{6}$$

Where n is an integer. This provides a geometric explanation for charge quantization that does not require the existence of magnetic monopoles or specific grand unified gauge groups.

#### 3.3 Color Charge and Other Quantum Numbers

The three color charges in quantum chromodynamics (red, green, blue) represent different rotational modes or higher harmonics within the two rotational dimensions. Mathematically, color charge can be expressed as a vector in a three-dimensional internal space that corresponds to specific rotational configurations:

$$\vec{C} = C_r \hat{r} + C_q \hat{g} + C_b \hat{b} \tag{7}$$

Where  $C_r$ ,  $C_g$ , and  $C_b$  represent the red, green, and blue components, which correspond to different rotational modes in the two-dimensional space.

Other quantum numbers such as weak isospin, hypercharge, and lepton number may similarly represent specific rotational configurations or oscillation modes that couple differently to the rotational dimensions and the temporal-spatial dimension.

#### 3.4 Fermions and Bosons

The distinction between fermions and bosons emerges from their transformation properties under rotations in our "2+2" dimensional framework:

- Fermions (half-integer spin) require a  $4\pi$  rotation in the two rotational dimensions to return to their original state
- **Bosons** (integer spin) require a  $2\pi$  rotation to return to their original state

The Pauli exclusion principle arises naturally from the rotational properties of fermions in this framework, as identical fermions cannot occupy the same rotational state.

## 4 Fundamental Forces in the "2+2" Framework

#### 4.1 Electromagnetic Force

The electromagnetic force primarily operates within the two rotational dimensions. The photon, as a spin-1 boson, represents a quantum of rotational oscillation in these dimensions.

Maxwell's equations can be reformulated in terms of the rotational coordinates  $(\theta, \phi)$ :

$$abla_{\rm rot} \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}, \quad \nabla_{\rm rot} \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$$

$$\tag{8}$$

Where  $\nabla_{\text{rot}}$  is the curl operator in the rotational dimensions. The electromagnetic potential can be expressed as:

$$A^{\mu} = (A^0, A^{\theta}, A^{\phi}, A^{\tau}) \tag{9}$$

Where the components  $A^{\theta}$  and  $A^{\phi}$  govern the primary electromagnetic interactions, while  $A^{0}$  and  $A^{\tau}$  capture the coupling to the temporal dimensions.

Coulomb's law is reinterpreted as a consequence of rotational phase alignment in two-dimensional space, with the inverse square dependence arising from the geometric properties of the rotational dimensions.

#### 4.2 Strong Nuclear Force

The strong nuclear force operates predominantly within the two rotational dimensions but with a more complex phase structure than electromagnetism. Gluons, as the force carriers, represent specific types of rotational transitions between different color states.

The SU(3) gauge structure of quantum chromodynamics can be reinterpreted in terms of allowed rotational transformations in our two-dimensional rotational space:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm QCD} = -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a\mu\nu} \tag{10}$$

Where the indices  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  primarily span the rotational dimensions.

Color confinement—the observation that quarks are not found in isolation—emerges from the properties of rotational states in two-dimensional space, where certain rotational configurations are only stable when combined in specific ways (forming color-neutral combinations).

Asymptotic freedom, the decreasing strength of the strong force at high energies, can be explained by how rotational dynamics change at different energy scales or different degrees of excitation in the rotational dimensions.

#### 4.3 Weak Nuclear Force

The weak nuclear force operates across both the rotational dimensions and the temporal-spatial dimension, explaining its ability to change particle flavors. The massive  $W^{\pm}$  and Z bosons represent modes that couple these different dimensional aspects.

The left-handed nature of weak interactions reflects the directional asymmetry between conventional time and the temporal-spatial dimension. This chiral asymmetry can be expressed as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{weak}} = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_L \gamma^\mu W_\mu \psi_L \tag{11}$$

Where the left-handed fermion fields  $\psi_L$  reflect specific coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension.

The symmetry breaking in the electroweak theory relates to the asymmetry between the two types of temporal dimensions in our framework, with the Higgs mechanism reinterpreted as a dimensional transition phenomenon.

#### 4.4 Gravitational Force

As detailed in our previous work, gravity uniquely operates across all dimensions in our "2+2" framework, including both temporal dimensions. This explains its apparent weakness compared to other forces, as its interaction strength is diluted across the full dimensional structure.

The Einstein field equations can be reformulated as:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G t^4}{d^4} T_{\mu\nu} \tag{12}$$

Where the dimensional factor  $\frac{t^4}{d^4}$  accounts for gravity's unique dimensional coupling. This formulation reveals gravity as fundamentally involving both rotational space and both temporal dimensions, explaining its distinct character compared to other forces.

The spin-2 nature of the graviton emerges naturally from its operation in the two rotational dimensions with coupling to both temporal dimensions, requiring specific transformation properties under rotations:

$$h'_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} e^{2i\theta} \tag{13}$$

#### 5 Unification of Forces

#### 5.1 Force Unification Through Dimensional Transition

In our framework, unification occurs through a dimensional transition mechanism as energy increases:

$$\alpha_i(E) = \alpha_0 \left( 1 + \beta_i \frac{E^2 t^2}{m_0 d^2} \right) \tag{14}$$

Where  $\alpha_i$  represents the coupling constant for force i,  $\alpha_0$  is the unified coupling constant, and  $\beta_i$  is a force-specific dimensional transition parameter.

At sufficiently high energies, the distinction between dimensions becomes less pronounced, causing all four forces to interact similarly across the full "2+2" dimensional structure. This results in effective unification at energies potentially lower than predicted by conventional GUT models.

#### 5.2 Resolution of the Hierarchy Problem

The hierarchy problem—why gravity is so much weaker than other forces—is resolved in our framework through dimensional coupling factors. Gravity's interaction strength is diluted by its operation across all dimensions, while other forces maintain their strength through confinement primarily to the rotational dimensions.

Mathematically, the effective gravitational coupling constant can be expressed as:

$$G_{\text{eff}} = G_0 \cdot \frac{d^4}{t^4} \tag{15}$$

Where  $G_0$  is the intrinsic gravitational coupling strength, which is actually comparable to the other force couplings, but is diluted by the dimensional factor  $\frac{d^4}{t^4}$ .

#### 5.3 Unified Field Equations

We propose a unified field equation framework that encompasses all four forces:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{unified}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W^{i}_{\mu\nu} W^{i\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} G^{A}_{\mu\nu} G^{A\mu\nu} + \frac{d^{4}}{t^{4}} R + \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}$$
(16)

Where  $F^a_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $W^i_{\mu\nu}$ , and  $G^A_{\mu\nu}$  represent the electromagnetic, weak, and strong field tensors respectively, R is the Ricci scalar, and the dimensional factor  $\frac{d^4}{t^4}$  accounts for gravity's unique dimensional coupling.

This Lagrangian unifies all four interactions while preserving their distinct phenomenological behaviors through the dimensional structure of our framework.

## 6 Modified Particle Interactions

#### 6.1 Interaction Vertices in the Rotational Framework

In the "2+2" dimensional framework, interaction vertices in Feynman diagrams represent specific rotational transitions or phase exchanges in the two-dimensional rotational space. For example, the electron-photon vertex can be expressed as:

$$\Gamma^{\mu} = \gamma^{\mu}_{\rm rot} F(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) \tag{17}$$

Where  $\gamma_{\rm rot}^{\mu}$  represents the gamma matrices adapted to the rotational dimensions and  $F(\theta, \phi, t, \tau)$  is a form factor that accounts for the dimensional coupling across the full "2+2" structure.

#### 6.2 Cross-Sections and Decay Rates

Particle scattering cross-sections and decay rates are modified in our framework to account for the rotational nature of interactions:

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \cdot G(\theta, \phi, t, \tau, E) \tag{18}$$

Where  $\sigma_0$  is the standard cross-section calculation and  $G(\theta, \phi, t, \tau, E)$  is a modification factor that incorporates the dimensional effects. At low energies, this factor approaches unity, preserving standard results, while at high energies it introduces significant modifications that could be experimentally detectable.

#### 6.3 Novel Decay Channels

Our framework predicts novel decay channels that involve transitions between the rotational dimensions and the temporal-spatial dimension. These would appear as rare decay modes that might violate certain conservation laws in the conventional three-dimensional interpretation but are perfectly allowed when properly understood in the "2+2" framework.

For example, certain forbidden flavor-changing neutral current processes might actually occur at a small but potentially detectable rate due to dimensional transition effects:

$$\Gamma_{\rm FCNC} \propto \left(\frac{Et}{m_0 d}\right)^n$$
 (19)

Where n is process-specific power that depends on the specific dimensional transition involved.

## 7 Experimental Predictions

Our framework makes several distinctive predictions that could distinguish it from the Standard Model:

#### 7.1 High-Energy Particle Collisions

1. Angular distributions: At very high energies, particle interactions should reveal the two-dimensional rotational nature of space through distinctive angular distributions of decay products.

2. Energy-dependent coupling constants: The running of coupling constants with energy should show subtle deviations from Standard Model predictions due to dimensional transition effects.

3. Novel resonances: Certain high-energy collisions might reveal resonances corresponding to excitations across the full "2+2" dimensional structure, appearing as unexpected peaks in cross-section measurements.

#### 7.2 Precision Measurements of Force Laws

1. Short-distance modifications: At very small distances, the force laws should show subtle deviations from standard predictions due to the influence of the temporal-spatial dimension.

2. Strong gravity environments: In strong gravitational fields, such as near black holes or neutron stars, particle interactions might exhibit unexpected modifications due to enhanced coupling between the gravitational and other forces.

3. Fifth force signatures: What might appear as a fifth force in conventional experiments could be reinterpreted as the effect of the temporal-spatial dimension on standard interactions.

#### 7.3 Rare Decay Processes

1. Forbidden decays: Certain "forbidden" decay processes might occur at small but detectable rates due to dimensional transition effects.

2. **CP violation patterns:** Our framework predicts specific patterns of CP violation that differ from Standard Model expectations, reflecting the asymmetry between the two temporal dimensions.

3. Neutrino oscillations: The pattern of neutrino oscillations should show subtle energy-dependent modifications that reflect the involvement of the temporal-spatial dimension.

## 8 Discussion

#### 8.1 Theoretical Challenges

Several significant theoretical challenges remain:

1. Mathematical formalism: Developing a complete mathematical framework for quantum field theory in a "2+2" dimensional universe, including appropriate calculation techniques for scattering amplitudes.

2. **Renormalization:** Addressing potential renormalization issues that might arise from the modified dimensional structure.

3. Symmetry groups: Reformulating the Standard Model symmetry groups  $(SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1))$  in terms of rotational and temporal symmetries.

4. Generation structure: Explaining the three-generation structure of fermions within the "2+2" dimensional framework.

#### 8.2 Comparison with Other Approaches

Our approach differs from other unification attempts in several key ways:

1. Unlike string theory, which adds spatial dimensions, our approach reinterprets existing dimensions, potentially offering more accessible experimental tests.

2. Unlike loop quantum gravity, which focuses on quantizing spacetime itself, our approach preserves spacetime continuity while reinterpreting its structure.

3. Unlike conventional GUTs, which focus on gauge group symmetries, our approach emphasizes the dimensional structure of interactions as the key to unification.

#### 8.3 Philosophical Implications

Our framework suggests profound shifts in our understanding of reality:

1. Charge and rotation: The concept of charge as fundamentally a rotational property suggests a deeper geometric nature to what we typically conceive as abstract quantum numbers.

2. Force unification: The four fundamental forces may represent different manifestations of the same underlying phenomenon operating across different combinations of dimensions.

3. **Time supremacy:** Time may be more fundamental than space, with two temporal dimensions and only two "true" spatial dimensions.

4. **Perception and reality:** Our sensory apparatus may be constructing a simplified three-dimensional model of a more complex "2+2" dimensional reality.

## 9 Conclusion

The  $Et^2 = md^2$  reformulation of Einstein's mass-energy equivalence, when interpreted in terms of a "2+2" dimensional framework, provides a conceptually revolutionary approach to understanding particle charges and fundamental forces. By reinterpreting charges as rotational phases and forces as interactions operating differently across our dimensional framework, we offer potential resolutions to longstanding puzzles in particle physics.

Our framework provides natural explanations for charge quantization, the hierarchy problem, and the unification of forces through a common dimensional structure. It offers distinctive experimental predictions that could be tested with current or near-future experiments, potentially providing evidence for this radical reconceptualization of the physical world.

While substantial theoretical development and experimental testing remain necessary, this approach merits further investigation as a potentially transformative pathway toward a more unified understanding of fundamental physics.